

January 31, 2019

Ms. Rebecca Womeldorf  
Secretary, Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure  
Administrative Office of the United States Courts  
One Columbus Circle, NE  
Washington, D.C. 20544

***Re: Proposed Amendment to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)***

Dear Ms. Womeldorf:

As in-house counsel at major U.S. corporations, we write to voice support for the proposal to amend Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A) to require in civil actions the disclosure of agreements giving a non-party or non-counsel the contingent right to receive compensation from proceeds of the litigation. *See* July 1, 2017 letter to Advisory Committee from 30 corporate and defense counsel organizations (proposing language for a new Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(v)).

We believe the reasons for requiring full disclosure are strong and well documented in the record before the Advisory Committee. When litigation funders invest in a lawsuit, they buy a piece of the case; they effectively become real parties in interest. Defendants (and courts) have a right to know who has a stake in a lawsuit and to assess whether they are using illegal or unethical means to bring the action. Further, in assessing discovery proportionality and addressing settlement possibilities, both the court and the defendant need to know who is sitting on the other side of the table — is it an impecunious individual seeking recourse based on the merits of his/her case or is there also a multi-million dollar litigation funder driven by the need to satisfy investor expectations?

The proposal seeks only basic disclosure; it does not seek to prohibit or regulate litigation finance. No harm would flow from requiring such basic transparency about who has invested in a lawsuit and the terms of that investment, at least none that could not be protected by the court, as the proposal contemplates. We have heard the suggestions that any third-party litigation funding (“TPLF”) disclosures should be *in camera* only and/or should be limited to a few points (e.g., confirmation that funding is being used, identification of the funder) based on the premise that disclosure of the actual agreement documents will unveil sensitive strategic information about a party’s capacity to prosecute the litigation. But that is precisely the argument made 30 years ago in opposing demands for full disclosure of defendants’ insurance agreements, which some funders have described as a defense-side form of litigation funding. In 1970, the Advisory Committee rejected those arguments in adopting Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(A)(iv), which requires disclosure of all insurance agreements in civil cases.

If a TPLF agreement disclosure requirement is not adopted, our Federal Rules will retain their current inequity; defendants will still be required to disclose to opposing counsel their

Ms. Rebecca Womeldorf

January 31, 2019

Page 2

contracts with insurers, but plaintiffs will be allowed to keep their funding arrangements under wraps. The practical effects of TPLF arrangements on pending litigation, including any ethical ramifications, should not be addressed through one-sided *ex parte* communications or on the basis of incomplete information. Such matters should be subject to the full transparency and scrutiny of the litigation process.

Finally, we note that some litigation funders have contended that major companies are generally indifferent or opposed to such a disclosure requirement because corporate use of TPLF is allegedly widespread. No evidence has been proffered to support that assertion. Nor is it consistent with our experience. But regardless of who uses litigation finance, that fact should not shield the fair disclosure of those arrangements.

Respectfully submitted,

Brackett B. Denniston, III  
Former Senior Vice President and  
General Counsel  
General Electric

Susan L. Lees  
Executive Vice President, Secretary and  
General Counsel  
The Allstate Corporation

David R. McAtee II  
Senior Executive Vice President and  
General Counsel  
AT&T Inc.

Christopher M. Guth  
Senior Counsel  
Bayer U.S.

William J. Noble  
General Counsel  
BP America Inc.

David Garfield  
Executive Vice President, General Counsel and  
Corporate Secretary  
The Charles Schwab Corporation

R. Hewitt Pate  
Vice President and General Counsel  
Chevron Corporation

Arthur R. Block  
Executive Vice President, General Counsel and  
Secretary  
Comcast Corporation

Thomas M. Moriarty  
Executive Vice President, Chief Policy and  
External Affairs Officer, and  
General Counsel  
CVS Health

Michael J. Harrington  
Senior Vice President and General Counsel  
Eli Lilly and Company

Ms. Rebecca Womeldorf

January 31, 2019

Page 3

Doug Lampe  
Counsel  
Ford Motor Company

John Nadas  
Vice President, Litigation  
General Electric

James Ford  
Senior Vice President and General Counsel  
GlaxoSmithKline LLC

Kent Walker  
Senior Vice President of Global Affairs and  
Chief Legal Officer  
Google

Anne T. Madden  
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and  
Corporate Secretary  
Honeywell International Inc.

Mary Beth Gustafsson  
Senior Vice President, General Counsel  
ITT, Inc.

Teresa W. Roseborough  
Executive Vice President, General Counsel  
and Corporate Secretary  
The Home Depot

Michael Ullmann  
Executive Vice President, General Counsel  
Johnson & Johnson

James H. Kelleher  
Executive Vice President and Chief Legal  
Officer  
Liberty Mutual Holding Company Inc.

Michael J. O'Connor  
General Counsel  
MassMutual

Jennifer Zachary  
Executive Vice President and  
General Counsel  
Merck & Co., Inc.

David Howard  
Corporate Vice President and Deputy General Counsel,  
Litigation, Competition Law and Compliance  
Microsoft Corporation

Paula Johnson  
Executive Vice President, Legal and  
Government Affairs, General Counsel and  
Corporate Secretary  
Phillips 66

Richard Fabian  
Executive Vice President, General Counsel  
RiverStone  
A Fairfax Company

Edward W. Moore  
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and  
Chief Compliance Officer  
RPM International Inc.

Jeanne E. Walker  
Associate General Counsel, Global Litigation  
Shell Oil Company

Ms. Rebecca Womeldorf

January 31, 2019

Page 4

Steve McManus  
Senior Vice President and General Counsel  
State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance  
Company

Frank A. Carrino  
Chief Legal Officer and Secretary  
Westfield

Craig Silliman  
Executive Vice President, Public Policy and General  
Counsel  
Verizon Wireless

Dennis Kerrigan  
Executive Vice President, General Counsel and  
Corporate Secretary  
Zurich North America